## ONLINE APPLICATIONS – SUGGESTED STUDY METHODOLOGY OPTIONS

Main class					
	Туре	Category	Brief description		
Quantitative study	Interventional/ experimental	Nature of intervention: Category 1	Novel/new medicines/drugs and intravenous fluids and blood products, vaccines, radio-active or biohazardous materials, medical devices, experimental surgical procedures.  Approved and registered medicines/drugs and intravenous fluids and blood products, vaccines, radio-active or biohazardous materials, medical devices, surgical procedures  Fortified or enriched foods and nutritional products, feeds and formulas, including breastmilk and breastmilk substitutes, macro-and micronutrients, pre- and probiotics, herbs, bioactive non-nutrients (e.g. dietary fibre, phenolic acids, flavonoids, carotenoids), diets and dietary patterns, and other related nutritional products  Education, health promotion, mental health strategies, physical activity and other lifestyle related interventions, rehabilitative interventions (e.g. care after stroke, accidents, etc.), health systems and implementation strategies  Other		
Grimes DA, Schulz KF. An overview of		Nature of intervention: Category 2			
clinical research: the lay of the land. Lancet		Nature of intervention: Category 3			
2002;359(930 0):57-61		Nature of intervention: Category 4			
		Nature of intervention: Category 5			
		Design	Brief description	Source/Resources	
		Randomised controlled trial	Purpose: estimating the effectiveness or efficacy of an intervention(s)	Akobeng AK. Understanding randomised controlled trials Arch Dis Child 2005; 90:840–44.	
			Methods: randomly assigns participants (or clusters of participants) into at least one experimental and at least one control (e.g. standard care, placebo, a different	Schulz KF, Grimes DA. Generation of allocation sequences in randomised trials: chance, not choice. Lancet 2002;359(9305):515-9	
			experimental intervention) group. Randomisation aims to distribute known and unknown confounding factors equally across groups. As the study is conducted, the only	Schulz KF, Grimes DA. Allocation concealment in randomised trials: defending against deciphering. Lancet 2002;359(9306):614-8	
			expected difference between the groups is the actual experimental or control intervention, thus the difference in	Schulz KF, Grimes DA. Blinding in randomised trials: hiding who got what. Lancet 2002;359(9307):696-700	

		outcomes across groups can be attributed to the specific intervention received.	Schulz KF, Grimes DA. Sample size slippages in randomised trials: exclusions and the lost and wayward. Lancet 2002; 359: 781–85.
	Non-randomised controlled trial	Purpose: estimating the effectiveness or efficacy of an intervention(s)	https://childhoodcancer.cochrane.org/non- randomised-controlled-study-nrs-designs
		Methods: similar to that of a randomised controlled trial (as above), but without random allocation of the participants (or clusters of participants) to the intervention and control groups.	
	Controlled before- after study	A specific subtype of a non-randomised controlled trial A study design that measures the outcome(s) of interest in two different groups of participants, before and after the implementation of an intervention. One group receives the intervention, whilst the other group (the control group) does not receive the intervention during the trial (they could receive it after the trial, e.g. a wait-list control).	https://childhoodcancer.cochrane.org/non-randomised-controlled-study-nrs-designs
	Uncontrolled before-after study	A study design that measures the outcome(s) of interest before and after the implementation of an intervention, where no control group is present.	https://emj.bmj.com/content/32/7/507
	Interrupted time series (ITS)	An interventional study design where data are collected at multiple time points before and after the implementation of an intervention. The main objective of an ITS is to examine whether the data pattern observed post-intervention is different to that observed pre-intervention.	https://childhoodcancer.cochrane.org/non-randomised-controlled-study-nrs-designs

Observational	Design	Brief description	Source/Resources
Grimes DA, Schulz KF Bias and causal associations in observational research. Lancet 2002; 359:	Prospective cohort	A study in which a defined group of people (the cohort) is followed over time, to examine associations between different exposures received and subsequent outcomes. A 'prospective' cohort study recruits participants before any exposure and follows them into the future in order to determine outcomes.	Grimes DA, Schulz KF. Cohort studies: marching towards outcomes. Lancet, January 2002; 359: 341-5. Grimes DA, Schulz KF. Descriptive studies: what they can and cannot do. Lancet 2002;359(9301):145-9
248–52.	Retrospective cohort	A study in which a defined group of people (the cohort) is followed over time, to examine associations between different exposures received and subsequent outcomes.  A 'retrospective' cohort study identifies subjects from past records describing the exposures and follows them from the time of those records forward in time to determine the outcomes.	Grimes DA, Schulz KF. Cohort studies: marching towards outcomes. Lancet, January 2002; 359: 341-5. Grimes DA, Schulz KF. Descriptive studies: what they can and cannot do. Lancet 2002;359(9301):145-9
	Case-control	A study that compares people with a specific outcome of interest ('cases') with people from the same source population but without that outcome ('controls'), to examine the association between the outcome and prior exposure (e.g. having an intervention). This is a retrospective study that is particularly useful when the outcome is rare.	https://childhoodcancer.cochrane.org/non-randomised-controlled-study-nrs-designs  Schulz KF, Grimes DA. Case-control studies: research in reverse. Lancet, February 2002; 359: 431-4.  Grimes DA, Schulz KF Compared to what? Finding controls for case-control studies Lancet 2005; 365: 1429–33
	Cross sectional including surveys	A study that collects information on exposures or interventions (past or present) and current health outcomes, for a group of people at a particular point in time, to examine associations between the outcomes and exposure to interventions.	https://childhoodcancer.cochrane.org/non-randomised-controlled-study-nrs-designs  Setia MS. Methodology Series Module 3: Cross-sectional Studies. Indian J Dermatol. 2016;61(3):261-264. doi:10.4103/0019-5154.182410

		Grimes DA, Schulz KF. Descriptive studies: what they can and cannot do. Lancet 2002;359(9301):145-9
Diagnostic test accuracy study	Purpose: to estimate the accuracy of a diagnostic test, ideally comparing a novel or new (less invasive) index test to the reference (gold) standard	Mallett S, Halligan S, Thompson M, Collins GS, Altman DG. Interpreting diagnostic accuracy studies for patient care. BMJ. 2012 Jul 2;345:e3999. doi: 10.1136/bmj.e3999. PMID: 22750423.
	A diagnostic test accuracy study provides evidence on how well a test correctly identifies or rules out disease and informs subsequent decisions about treatment for clinicians, their patients, and healthcare providers.	Akobeng AK. Understanding diagnostic tests 1: sensitivity, specificity and predictive values. Acta Pædiatrica 2007 Mar; 96(3):338-41.
Ecological study	Purpose: to study population-level risk factors related to specific outcomes to make large scale comparisons, for example between countries.	https://www.nature.com/articles/6400454.pdf?origin=ppub https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1615 050/pdf/amjph00456-0123.pdf
	An ecological study collects and analyses data at the group or population level rather than the individual level. It is efficient for testing correlations in an exploratory manner and generating hypotheses that can be further tested using individual level designs.	
Case report <sup>1</sup>	Observations are made of one patient with an interesting disease profile, before and after an intervention but with no control group.	https://childhoodcancer.cochrane.org/non-randomised-controlled-study-nrs-designs
Case series <sup>2</sup>	Observations are made on a series of individuals, usually all receiving the same or	https://childhoodcancer.cochrane.org/non- randomised-controlled-study-nrs-designs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kindly note that a case report is submitted as such on HREC application system and not under health research application

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Kindly note that a case series submitted as such on HREC application system and not under health research application

Qualitative study	Design	similar intervention, before and after an intervention but with no control group.  A case series consists only of participants who are sampled on the basis of the presence of a specific outcome (a disease or disease-related outcome).  Brief description	https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22213493/ https://bmcmedresmethodol.biomedcentral.com/arti cles/10.1186/s12874-017-0391-8?optIn=false
	Descriptive/explorative	Purpose: to describe or explore participants' experiences and factors related to certain phenomena, events, or interventions.  Method: sources of evidence for descriptive studies include interviews, documentation, archival records, direct observations, participant-observation, and physical artefacts.  This study cannot be linked with a study that seeks to develop in-depth understanding through interpretive analysis such as phenomenology, transformative, action, or grounded theory research.	Kim, H., Sefcik, J. S., & Bradway, C. (2017). Characteristics of Qualitative Descriptive Studies: A Systematic Review. Research in nursing & health, 40(1), 23–42. https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.21768
	Phenomenological	Purpose: to explore in depth the conscious human experience. Phenomenological are categorised as either descriptive or interpretive.  Method: data is collected in the form of individual interviews with extensive field notes. As much as the participant is relaying their experience the researcher being	Groenewald, T. (2004). A Phenomenological Research Design Illustrated. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 42–55.  https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690400300104  Reiners GM (2012) Understanding the Differences between Husserl's (Descriptive) and Heidegger's (Interpretive) Phenomenological Research. J Nurs Care 1:119. doi:10.4172/2167-1168.1000119

		present also influences the story that is told. Therefore, it is important for the research to keep detailed field notes and reflections of their experience.	Gill, M 2014, 'The Possibilities of Phenomenology for Organizational Research', Organizational Research Methods, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 118-137 . https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428113518348
	Case study	Purpose: to describe the in-depth experience of one person, family, group, community, or institution in-depth.  Method: sources of evidence for case studies include interviews, documentation, archival records, direct observations, participant-observation, and physical artefacts. One of the most important sources for data in qualitative case study research is the interview (Alpi & Evans, 2019).	Mureithi, L., Burnett, J.M., Bertscher, A. et al. (2018). Emergence of three general practitioner contracting-in models in South Africa: a qualitative multi-case study. International Journal of Equity Health, 17(107). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-018-0830-0
	Grounded theory	Purpose: to ground or evolve a theory from the data that is collected.  Method: the researcher conducts interviews, finds multiple sources of data, may include documents, and will go back to participants for additional follow up questions. As the theory develops, more data will be collected to contribute to the evolving theory.	Sbaraini, A., Carter, S.M., Evans, R.W. et al. (2011).  How to do a grounded theory study: a worked example of a study of dental practices. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 11(128).  https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-11-128.
	Ethnography	Purpose: to provide a rich, detailed account of the experience of one person, family, group, community, or institution in-depth.	Fudge, N., Wolfe, C. D., & McKevitt, C. (2008). Assessing the promise of user involvement in health service development: ethnographic study. BMJ

	Method: the ethnographer does interviews and makes field notes of behaviour that he/she is interested in. The interviewer will immerse themselves in the context and make observations, usually writing reflection notes in a journal to document their experience.	(Clinical research ed.), 336(7639), 313–317. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39456.552257.BE
Action/ Participatory Action Research (PAR)	The broad purpose of action research: to explore a process, system or phenomena in order to make and evaluate the change. Improving healthcare policy and practice using research.  In PAR it is important that the participants are co-researchers in all stages of the research process.  Method: action research follows the following process: Planning in order to initiate change, implementing the research and change (acting), observing the process of implementation and consequences, reflecting or evaluating the processes of change and re-planning, acting and observing, and reflecting. Data can be collected through documents, interviews, and observation.	Accoe, K., Marchal, B., Gnokane, Y. et al. (2020). Action research and health system strengthening: the case of the health sector support programme in Mauritania, West Africa. Health Research Policy Systems, 18(25). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-020-0531-1  MacDonald, C. 2012. Understanding Participatory Action Research: A qualitative methodology option. Canadian Journal of Action Research, 13(2): 34-50. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2566 051/

Community/ Participatory	Purpose: presents people as researchers in pursuit of answers to questions encountered in their daily life.  Method: include visual, art, music, drama, social media, interviews (conducted by participants themselves), photo-voice and other mediums of expression most comfortable for the participant researchers.	Brent C. Elder & Kenneth O. Odoyo (2018) Multiple methodologies: using community-based participatory research and decolonizing methodologies in Kenya, International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education, 31:4, 293-311, DOI: 10.1080/09518398.2017.1422290 Bradley, H.A., & Puoane, T. (2007). Prevention of hypertension and diabetes in an urban setting in South Africa: participatory action research with community health workers. Ethnicity and Disease,17(1):49-54.
Historical	Purpose: describes and examine events of the past to understand the present and anticipate potential future effects  Method: the researcher may consult a range of printed, written, or digital evidence.  Persons may also be consulted. The resultant history is arranged chronologically and presented as a factual tale. The sources range from manuscripts (such as account books, school records, marginalia, letters, diaries and memoirs) to imprints (such as textbooks, journals, children's books and other books of the period under consideration).	Given, L. M. (2008). The SAGE encyclopedia of qualitative research methods (Vols. 1-0). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, Inc. doi: 10.4135/9781412963909  Thies, C.G. (2002), A Pragmatic Guide to Qualitative Historical Analysis in the Study of International Relations. International Studies Perspectives, 3: 351-372. https://doi.org/10.1111/1528-3577.t01-1-00099
Narrative	Purpose: to explore, collect and contextualise the human experience through text.	Holloway, I., & Freshwater, D. (2007). Vulnerable story telling: narrative research in nursing. Journal of Research in Nursing, 12(6), 703–711. https://doi.org/10.1177/1744987107084669

	Method: stories may be collected through interviews, focus groups, and through textual data contained in documents, in the newspaper, or on websites.	
Biographical	Purpose: To explore the self-representation of the individual life ('autobiography') or a group of individuals.  Method: Involves the collection and interpretation of 'personal' or 'human documents' that may include diaries, letters, autobiographies, biographies, memoranda and other materials.	Roberts, B. (2002). Biographical research. Open University Press: Philadelphia. Goodwin, J (Editor) (2012). SAGE Biographical Research. Vol. 1. SAGE Publications: Los Angeles
Feminist/ Transformative	Debate exists whether this is a distinct research method or rather a philosophical/paradigmatic orientation.  Purpose: it actively seeks to remove the power imbalance between the researcher and the participant (subject); it is politically motivated in that it seeks to change social inequality.  Method: it is interdisciplinary, transdisciplinary and uses multi-methods. Feminist research designs use different methodologies including art, narrative, visual, video, text, interviews, focus groups, and all other forms of expression.	Kiguwa, P. (2019). Feminist approaches: An exploration of women's gendered experiences. In Laher S., Fynn A., & Kramer S. (Eds.), Transforming Research Methods in the Social Sciences: Case Studies from South Africa (pp. 220-235). Johannesburg: Wits University Press. Retrieved May 20, 2020, from www.jstor.org/stable/10.18772/22019032750.19

Mixed methods study:	Design	Brief description	Source/Resources
The research problem/ questions	Convergent	Quantitative and qualitative data are	
indicate the need to collect both	(parallel)	separately, independently and concurrently	https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/15586
quantitative (QUAN) and		collected, analysed and interpreted	<u>89807306132</u>
qualitative (QUAL) data in a fixed		(inferences) followed by the mixing and	
or emerging fashion, and not just		integration of data in the form of meta-	https://sites.uci.edu/socscihonors/files/2017/09/Mixe
a mixing of methodologies and		inferences.	d Methods Research.pdf
methods (or so-called multi-			
method research). Using both			https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11577-017-
QUAN and QUAL data strengthens			<u>0454-1</u>
the validity of conclusions made.			
1. MM consider the weight			https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5602
attributed to quantitative and			001/
qualitative data collection			
(equal versus primacy or			https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3569
driving) and the sequence of			711/pdf/nihms432078.pdf
data collection (dependency			
and resultant point of			https://ebn.bmj.com/content/ebnurs/20/3/74.full.pdf
interface or integration).			
2. Both QUAN and QUAL data as	<b>Explanatory</b>	Quantitative data is collected and analysed	
collected separately and	<mark>sequential</mark>	first. The qualitative data collection is then	
collectively elicits core		informed by (dependent on) the	
findings as deduced – also		quantitative findings to explain and	
referred to as inferences		contextualise poignant and critical	
(themes) and meta-inferences		quantitative findings.	
(meta-themes).	<b>Exploratory</b>	qualitative data is collected first to	
3. The collection of both	<mark>sequential</mark>	understand/ unpack the phenomenon. This	
qualitative and quantitative		is followed by collecting quantitative data	
data may strengthen the		that is dependent on and more precisely	
value and credibility of the		explores and/or measures and/or tests such	
study through for example		qualitative findings. This approach is often	
a. Corroboration or		used in theory development and instrument	
confirmation		development.	
(triangulation)	Embedded design	Within either a traditional quantitative or	
		qualitative study, a study of the other is	

		Leader data de la composição de la compo	
b. Exploration of		embedded and plays a supplemental role to	
paradoxes, diversities		answer specific questions that require such a	
and divergencies		data collection method.	
c. Searching for true	Other (more	E.g. Multiphase, Intervention, Case study,	
comprehensiveness	complex) designs	Participatory/Transformative	
and/or illumination			
4. MM is challenging as the			
researcher navigates the			
complex relationship between			
two seemingly opposing			
philosophic, ontological and			
epistemological			
underpinnings of <i>realist</i>			
positivism/ post-positivism on			
the one hand, and <i>relativist</i>			
interpretivism on the other			
hand – all under an umbrella			
often referred to as			
pragmatism.			
Research synthesis <sup>3</sup>	Design	Brief description	Source/Resources
Research synthesis	Systematic review	A comprehensive review with a	Akobeng AK. Understanding systematic reviews and
Grant, M.J. and Booth, A. (2009),	Systematic review	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
A typology of reviews: an analysis		predetermined question and objectives,	meta-analysis Arch Dis Child 2005;90: 845–8
of 14 review types and associated		predetermined criteria for eligibility,	Dalasses A. Carran D. Vassas T. Dandina assatanastia
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		searched at least two data sources of which	Rohwer A, Garner P, Young T. Reading systematic
methodologies. Health		one needed to be an electronic database,	reviews to answer clinical questions. Clinical
			F : 1 : 1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1
Information & Libraries Journal,		and performed data extraction and risk of	Epidemiology and Global Health 2014; 2:39-46.
26: 91-108.		and performed data extraction and risk of bias assessment.	Epidemiology and Global Health 2014; 2:39-46.
26: 91-108. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-		bias assessment.	Epidemiology and Global Health 2014; 2:39-46.
26: 91-108.		bias assessment.  Do not confuse a systematic review with a	Epidemiology and Global Health 2014; 2:39-46.
26: 91-108. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-		bias assessment.  Do not confuse a systematic review with a meta-analysis: a systematic review is a form	Epidemiology and Global Health 2014; 2:39-46.
26: 91-108. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-		bias assessment.  Do not confuse a systematic review with a meta-analysis: a systematic review is a form of research synthesis while a meta-analysis	Epidemiology and Global Health 2014; 2:39-46.
26: 91-108. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-		bias assessment.  Do not confuse a systematic review with a meta-analysis: a systematic review is a form	Epidemiology and Global Health 2014; 2:39-46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Research syntheses (e.g. scoping reviews, systematic reviews, and so forth) utilise secondary data and are submitted to HREC as an *exemption application*.

	review	to pool relevant data per outcome	
	across s	studies. Not all systematic reviews	
	will cor	ntain meta-analyses, it is dependent	
		uded studies and its relevant data. (A	
	system	atic review on a question about	
		s and facilitators, experiences,	
		ng and behaviour will be including	
		tive studies and thus will not contain	
		nalyses.)	
	ineta a	naiyses.,	
	Depend	ding on the type of question that the	
	system	atic review addresses, systematic	
	reviews	s can include all sorts of primary study	
	types, f	for example, randomised controlled	
	trials fo	or intervention questions; cohort and	
	case-co	ontrol studies for questions on risk	
	factors	and associations; cross-sectional	
	studies	for questions on prevalence;	
	qualita	tive studies for questions on barriers,	
	facilitat	tors, experiences, meaning and	
	behavio	our; diagnostic test accuracy or cross-	
	section	al studies for questions on the	
	accurac	cy of a diagnostic test; etc.	
- Scopi	<mark>ng review</mark> Scoping	g reviews can incorporate a range of	Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies:
		lesigns to comprehensively	advancing the methodology. Implement Sci. 2010 Sep
	•	e extent, range and nature of existing	20; 5:69. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-5-69. PMID:
	researc	ch activity, pointing out gaps in the	20854677; PMCID: PMC2954944.
		g evidence and determining the value	
		ertaking a full (new) systematic	Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping Studies: Towards a
		and summarise and disseminate	Methodological Framework. Int J Social Research
		ch findings.	Methodology 2005;8(1):1-32.
		-	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616
			Colquhoun HL, Levac D, O'Brien KK, Straus S, Tricco AC,
			Perrier L, Kastner M, Moher D. Scoping reviews: time

	Overview of systematic reviews	An overview of systematic reviews uses explicit and systematic methods to search for and identify multiple systematic reviews on related research questions in the same topic area for the purpose of extracting and	for clarity in definition, methods, and reporting. J Clin Epidemiol. 2014 Dec;67(12):1291-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2014.03.013. Epub 2014 Jul 14. PMID: 25034198. https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chap ter-v  Hunt, H., Pollock, A., Campbell, P. et al. An introduction to overviews of reviews: planning a
		analysing their results across important outcomes. Overviews follow similar methods than a systematic review, but the unit of searching, inclusion and data analysis is systematic reviews rather than primary studies.	relevant research question and objective for an overview. Syst Rev 7, 39 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-018-0695-8
	Other research synthesis designs	Various, see resource	Grant, M.J. and Booth, A. (2009), A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. Health Information & Libraries Journal, 26: 91-108. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x